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#### Abstract

A finite projective geometry $T=P G(n, 2)$ is associated with any coupling-recoupling ( $N$-jm) coefficient of $S U(2)$. This geometry is based on a duality of projective spaces and a discrete Fourier transform. An angular momentum $j_{k}$ with projection $M_{k}$ is attached at each point $k \in T$. Some of these momenta and projections are specified by the arguments of the $N$ - jm coefficient. The others are qualified as hidden. The value of the $N-j m$ coefficient is given in terms of a summation over the hidden angular momenta and hidden projections of a 'full $p_{n}-J M$ symbol' with a high degree of symmetry. For the $3-j$ coefficient (Clebsch-Gordan or Wigner coefficient), the finite projective geometry is a line of three points with one hidden projection and the formula of hidden momenta gives an interpretation of the combinatorial formula of Racah for the 3-j coefficient.


## 1. Introduction

The angular momentum graphs introduced by Levinson and Yutsis et al [16, 25] describe the various coupling-recoupling ( $N-j m$ ) coefficients of $S U(2)$. In these graphs, momenta are associated with edges and triangular conditions with vertices. Tutte [23] considered the embedding of a general graph in finite projective spaces in connection with the theory of graph colourings. This embedding applied to the angular momentum graphs of $3 n-j$ coefficients reproduces the geometric description of the $3 n-j$ coefficient in the finite projective space $P=P G(n, 2)$ that has been considered by Robinson [22]: momenta are associated with points and triangular conditions with collinearity of points. Descriptions of $3 n-j$ coefficient in real projective spaces have been considered by Fano and Racah ([9], appendices; see also Biedenharn and Louck [2]).

A set of graphical theorems [25] gives practical methods for computing the $N$-jm coefficients from 3-j and 6-j coefficients. In [14], a combinatorial formula for the $N-j m$ coefficient was derived by a generating function approach based on spaces introduced by Bargmann [1] (for a more recent approach, the chromatic method of evaluating Penrose spin networks, see $[12,13,17,18])$. Though this formula does not provide an efficient method for computing the $N$-jm coefficients, it has the interest of being in a combinatorial form that generalizes formulae of Racah [20] for the $3-j$ and $6-j$ coefficients. In [15], we introduced, for a $3 n-j$ coefficient, hidden angular momenta at points of $P$ and a discrete Fourier transform between momenta and 'comomenta' used in the combinatorial formula of the $3 n-j$ coefficient. Similar Fourier transforms occur in Conway (assisted by Fung) [6] and in Fairlie and Ueno [8]. We then derived the 'formula of hidden momenta' that gives the value of the $3 n-j$ coefficient in terms of a sum over the hidden momenta of a full ' $p_{n}-J$ symbol'. For the $6-j$ coefficient,
there is one hidden momentum and the formula is equivalent to the combinatorial formula of Racah ([20], equation (36)).

These results are extended in this paper to any $N$-jm coefficient of $S U(2)$. We define a finite projective geometry $T=P G(n, 2)$, with hidden angular momenta and hidden projection momenta. The value of the $N$ - jm coefficient is given by a formula of hidden momenta. For the $3-j$ coefficient, this formula is algebraically equivalent to the formula of Racah ([20], equation (16))

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \\
m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\delta_{m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}, 0} N(-1)^{j_{1}-j_{2}-m_{3}} \sum_{z} \frac{(-1)^{z}}{\left(j_{3}-j_{1}-m_{2}+z\right)!} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\left(j_{3}-j_{2}+m_{1}+z\right)!z!\left(j_{2}+m_{2}-z\right)!\left(j_{1}-m_{1}-z\right)!\left(j_{1}+j_{2}-j_{3}-z\right)!} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z$ runs over values such that all factorials have arguments in $\mathbb{N}$ (we use the notation $\mathbb{N}$ for the set of natural integers $\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ ) and where $N$ is a normalizing factor that will be given below in equation (16). Equation (1) becomes the formula of hidden momenta when the sum over $z$ is changed to a sum over $M_{1}$ by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\left[\left(j_{1}-M_{1}\right)+\left(j_{2}-M_{2}\right)-\left(j_{3}-M_{3}\right)\right] / 2 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=M_{2}-M_{3} \quad m_{2}=-M_{2} \quad m_{3}=M_{3} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arguments of the factorials in equation (1) are transformed into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}=\left[-\left(j_{1}+M_{1}\right)+\left(j_{2}+M_{2}\right)+\left(j_{3}+M_{3}\right)\right] / 2 \\
& \alpha_{2}=\left[\left(j_{1}-M_{1}\right)-\left(j_{2}-M_{2}\right)+\left(j_{3}-M_{3}\right)\right] / 2 \\
& \alpha_{3}=\left[\left(j_{1}-M_{1}\right)+\left(j_{2}-M_{2}\right)-\left(j_{3}-M_{3}\right)\right] / 2  \tag{4}\\
& \alpha_{4}=\left[-\left(j_{1}-M_{1}\right)+\left(j_{2}-M_{2}\right)+\left(j_{3}-M_{3}\right)\right] / 2 \\
& \alpha_{5}=\left[\left(j_{1}+M_{1}\right)-\left(j_{2}+M_{2}\right)+\left(j_{3}+M_{3}\right)\right] / 2 \\
& \alpha_{6}=\left[\left(j_{1}+M_{1}\right)+\left(j_{2}+M_{2}\right)-\left(j_{3}+M_{3}\right)\right] / 2
\end{align*}
$$

which we call comomenta of the $3-j$ coefficient. Equation (4) between comomenta and momenta appears as a discrete Fourier transform. Defining a full 3-JM symbol
$\left\langle\begin{array}{ccc}j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \\ M_{1} & M_{2} & M_{3}\end{array}\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{(-1)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}}}{\alpha_{1}!\alpha_{2}!\alpha_{3}!\alpha_{4}!\alpha_{5}!\alpha_{6}!} & \text { if } \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{array} \quad\right.$ for $\quad i=1,2, \ldots, 6$
equation (1) reads

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3}  \tag{6}\\
m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3}
\end{array}\right)=N \sum_{M_{1}}(-1)^{\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \\
M_{1} & M_{2} & M_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Number $M_{1}$ has to take values within the set $\left\{-j_{1},-j_{1}+1, \ldots, j_{1}-1, j_{1}\right\}$ otherwise the 3-JM symbol of equation (5) is zero. So we interpret $M_{1}$ as a projection of $j_{1}$ (we say $j_{1} M_{1}$ satisfy projection conditions). Equation (6) is the formula of hidden momenta for the $3-j$ coefficient.

The full 3-JM symbol (5) has a high degree of symmetry. It is invariant in the $6!=720$ permutations of the comomenta. For the sum in equation (6), there remain the 72 symmetries of the 3- $j$ coefficient (Regge [21]).

Geometrically, the momenta and projections are defined on the momentum line $P G(1,2)$ which contains only three points:


A momentum $j_{k}$ and its projection $M_{k}$ are associated to point $k=1,2$ or 3 , and the line expresses that $j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}$ satisfy triangular conditions. There is only one hidden projection (we can take any one of $M_{1}, M_{2}$ or $M_{3}$ ). Equation (3) is represented geometrically by the $M$-chain $(3,2)$ consisting of two ordered points, 3 and 2, and of one line 32:


The comomenta are defined on a dual comomentum line:

with a pair of comomenta at each point.
Here is the plan of our exposition. The general $N-j m$ coefficient is defined from its angular momentum graph $G$ (section 2 ). We then review the diagrams drawn on $G$ (section 3), the combinatorial formula (section 4) and the projective geometry of the $3 n-j$ coefficient (section 5). We have then the elements and notations to construct the projective geometry of the $N$-jm coefficient (section 6).

## 2. Angular momentum graphs

The angular momentum graphs represent the $N$-jm coefficients. Various versions of these graphs have been considered [5,7,11, 16,24,25]. We shall use the following simple variant. The 3- $j$ coefficient $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \\ m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3}\end{array}\right)$ is represented by figure 1 which serves as the basic building block. The sign $( \pm)$ at the vertex indicates the cyclic order of the momenta in the 3- $j$ symbol. An angular momentum graph is obtained from these building blocks by a sequence of contractions. A contraction corresponds to a summation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m}(-1)^{j-m}\binom{\ldots j \ldots}{\ldots m \ldots}\binom{\ldots j \ldots}{\ldots-m \ldots} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $L$ and $R$ be the graphs of the $3-j$ coefficients $\binom{\ldots j \ldots}{\ldots m \ldots}$ and $\binom{\ldots j \ldots}{\ldots-m \ldots}$ respectively, the contraction is represented by joining the edges $j$ of $L$ and $R$ by an arrow going from $L$ to $R$. For example the $4-j m$ coupling coefficient

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} & j_{4}  \tag{11}\\
m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3} & m_{4}
\end{array}\right)_{j_{5}}=\sum_{m_{5}}(-1)^{j_{5}-m_{5}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{5} \\
m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{5}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{3} & j_{4} & j_{5} \\
m_{3} & m_{4} & -m_{5}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is represented by figure 2 .
The most general graph $G$ so obtained is a trivalent graph (three edges meet at each of the $v$ vertices) with $h$ components, $f$ free edges linked to one vertex only and $g$ bound edges linked to vertices at each extremity (when the extremities are linked to the same vertex, the edge is a


Figure 1. The 3-j coefficient.


Figure 3. A 3 - $j m$ coupling-recoupling coefficient.


Figure 2. A 4-jm coupling coefficient.


Figure 4. The 6- $j$ coefficient $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \\ j_{4} & j_{5} & j_{6}\end{array}\right\}$.
loop). Each edge is labelled with an angular momentum $j$ accompanied by its projection $m$ in the case of a free edge. The graph is decorated with arrows on bound edges (changing the direction of an edge $j$ multiplies the coefficient by $(-1)^{2 j}$ ) and $\pm$ signs at vertices (changing the sign of a vertex where $j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}$ meet multiplies the coefficient by $(-1)^{j_{1}+j_{2}+j_{3}}$ ). We are considering only coefficients whose projections add up to zero ( $\sum m=0$ ), so that we do not decorate the free edges of the graphs. Usually, we are interested in connected graphs $(h=1)$. Coupling coefficients are then represented by trees, as in figure 2 . We have a couplingrecoupling coefficient as in figure 3 when the graph has circuits and a $3 n-j$ coefficient when the graph has no free edge as in figure 4 . We use the name $N-j m$ coefficient for the most general graph (including $3 n-j$ ) and 3-jm (4-jm) coefficients for graphs with 3 (4) free edges.

## 3. The open and closed diagrams

In this section, we define subsets of edges of $G$ that are used to express the value of the $N-j m$ coefficient. The following presentation is an adaptation to our needs of the definition of cycles by Tutte [23] (see also Holton and Sheenhan [10]).

Let $E$ be the set of edges of graph $G$. For figure 3, $E=\{1,2,5,6,7,9\}$ where we designate the edges by integers. The edge space $\mathcal{E}$ of the graph is the vector space over the 2-element field $\mathbb{F}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ of functions $E \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}$. The support of a function $w \in \mathcal{E}$ is the subset $W \subseteq E$ of the edges $e \in E$ such that $w(e)=1$. We shall not distinguish between a function and its support. The sum of two edge subsets $W, W^{\prime} \subseteq E$ is then their symmetric


Figure 5. The closed diagrams of the $6-j$ coefficient.
difference $\left(W \cup W^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(W \cap W^{\prime}\right)$.
Let $V$ be the set of vertices of graph $G$. For figure $3, V=\left\{v_{5}, v_{7}, v_{9}\right\}$ where $v_{k}$ is the vertex incident with free edge $k$. We associate with each free edge a supplementary 1 -valent vertex placed at the unlinked extremity of the edge and called its end. We obtain in this way a graph $G^{\prime}$ with $v+f$ vertices and $g+f$ edges. Its edge space can be identified with the edge space $\mathcal{E}$ of $G$. The vertex space $\mathcal{V}$ is the vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ of functions $V^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}$ from the set of vertices $V^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. Similarly as for the edge space, we do not distinguish between a function $\phi \in \mathcal{V}$ and the subset of $V^{\prime}$ which is the support of $\phi$.

Let $a \in E$ be an edge in $G$ or $G^{\prime}$ and $s, s^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}$ be the endvertices of $a$, that is the vertices in $G^{\prime}$ incident with $a$. We have $s=s^{\prime}$ when $a$ is a loop. Letting $w_{a} \in \mathcal{E}, \phi_{s} \in \mathcal{V}, \phi_{s^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{V}$ correspond respectively to the subsets $\{a\} \subseteq E,\{s\},\left\{s^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq V^{\prime}$, we can define a linear function $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial w_{a}=\phi_{s}+\phi_{s^{\prime}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function $\partial$ gives the endvertices of an edge, or, more generally, of a subset of edges. For example, if the endvertices of edge $b$ are $s^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime \prime}$, the subset $\{a, b\} \subseteq E$ corresponding to $w_{a b}=w_{a}+w_{b} \in \mathcal{E}$ form a path with two extremities $s$ and $s^{\prime \prime}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial w_{a b}=\partial w_{a}+\partial w_{b}=\left(\phi_{s}+\phi_{s^{\prime}}\right)+\left(\phi_{s^{\prime}}+\phi_{s^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\phi_{s}+\phi_{s^{\prime \prime}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A cycle of graph $G$ is a subset of edges $w \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\partial w=0$. The connected components of a cycle are called circuits. In other words, a cycle is a subset of edges that is a union of any number of disjoint circuits. For the $6-j$ coefficient, there are $8=2^{3}$ cycles, the seven cycles represented in figure 5 and the empty set (the zero cycle). Note that we have changed the labelling of [15] for these cycles. Given two cycles $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and scalars $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$, the linear combination $\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\lambda_{2} e_{2}$ is a cycle: the cycles form the cycle-subspace $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{E}$. The dimension of $\mathcal{C}$ for graph $G$ is $g-v+h([3,4])$. The non-zero cycles, also called closed diagrams, form the set $\mathcal{C} \backslash\{0\}$ of $q=2^{g-v+h}-1$ elements.

For two different free edges $a$ and $b$, with respective ends $s_{a}$ and $s_{b}$ (that we identify with elements of $\mathcal{V}$ ), an open diagram of type $a \rightarrow b$ is a subset of edges $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$, such that $\partial \omega=s_{a}+s_{b}$. We distinguish open diagrams of types $a \rightarrow b$ and $b \rightarrow a$ corresponding to the same $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$, and call them reversed diagrams. In other words, we can think of an open diagram of type $a \rightarrow b$ as a subset of edges formed of the disjoint union of one oriented path
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Figure 6. The open diagrams of the $3-j$ coefficient.
going from edge $a$ to $b$, that we call open path, and any number of circuits. We denote by $\Omega_{a b}$ the set of open diagrams of type $a \rightarrow b$. If $a$ and $b$ are in different components of graph $G$, there is no open diagram of type $a \rightarrow b$ and $\Omega_{a b}$ is empty. Otherwise, if $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega_{a b}$, then $\omega-\omega^{\prime}$ is a cycle and $\Omega_{a b}$ is an affine subspace of $\mathcal{E}$ containing $2^{g-v+h}$ elements. The set of all open diagrams $\Omega$ contains, in the case of a connected graph, $p=f(f-1) 2^{g-v+1}$ elements. For the $3-j$ coefficient, there are six open diagrams, represented in figure 6 and denoted by $e_{1}$, $e_{2}, \ldots, e_{6}$. There are three pairs of reversed diagrams: $\left(e_{1}, e_{4}\right),\left(e_{2}, e_{5}\right)$ and $\left(e_{3}, e_{6}\right)$.

For a connected $3 n-j$ coefficient ( $v=2 n, f=0, g=3 n, h=1$ ), the dimension of $\mathcal{C}$ is $n+1$, there are $q=2^{n+1}-1$ closed diagrams and no open diagram $(p=0)$.

For a connected coupling coefficient with $v$ couplings ( $f=v+2, g=v-1, h=1$ ), there are $p=(v+2)(v+1)$ open diagrams and no closed diagram $(\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}=0, q=0)$.

## 4. The combinatorial formula

In this section we review the combinatorial formula of the $N-j m$ coefficient [14], which is based on the open and closed diagrams of $G$. We follow a presentation similar to the one we used in [15] for the combinatorial formula of the $3 n-j$ coefficient. We say that a vertex of $G$ is a vertex of diagram $e_{i}$ if it is incident with edges of $e_{i}$. To each diagram $e_{i}$ is associated a sign $\epsilon_{i}= \pm 1$ computed by the following rules:
(i) Orient all circuits of $e_{i}$ in an arbitrary fashion.
(ii) Multiply the factors:

- at each vertex of $e_{i}$, a factor of +1 if the order of the edges is (incoming edge, outgoing edge, third edge) and -1 otherwise:

+1

-1

$-1$

+1
- on each bound edge of $e_{i}$, a factor of +1 if the directions of the edge and diagram are opposite or -1 if they are the same:


$+1$

-1
- by a factor of -1 for each circuit.

There is an even (resp. odd) total number of edges and vertices on a circuit (resp. open path). The sign of a diagram is thus independent of the orientations chosen on the circuits. The signs of reversed open diagrams are opposite.

Examples. For the 6-j coefficient (figures 4 and 5) $\epsilon_{i}=1$ for all closed diagrams; for the 3- $j$ coefficient (figures 1 and 6) $\epsilon_{1}=\epsilon_{2}=\epsilon_{3}=1$ and $\epsilon_{4}=\epsilon_{5}=\epsilon_{6}=-1$.

To each set of values of momenta in a coupling-recoupling coefficient we associate an array $x$ of these values. For example, we associate the $2 \times 3$ array $x=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \\ m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3}\end{array}\right]$ to the 3-j coefficient $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \\ m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3}\end{array}\right)$. We denote by $R$ the space of arrays like these when the entries are integers or half-integers that satisfy the triangular and projection conditions of the $N$ - jm coefficient. We denote by $\{x\}$ the value of the coefficient associated to array $x \in R$.

For arrays in $R$, we have the usual addition and multiplication by a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. For example, in the case of the $3-j$ coefficient, if $x^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}j_{1}^{\prime} & j_{2}^{\prime} & j_{3}^{\prime} \\ m_{1}^{\prime} & m_{2}^{\prime} & m_{3}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$ we have $x+x^{\prime}=$ $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}j_{1}+j_{1}^{\prime} & j_{2}+j_{2}^{\prime} & j_{3}+j_{3}^{\prime} \\ m_{1}+m_{1}^{\prime} & m_{2}+m_{2}^{\prime} & m_{3}+m_{3}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$ and $\lambda x=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\lambda j_{1} & \lambda j_{2} & \lambda j_{3} \\ \lambda m_{1} & \lambda m_{2} & \lambda m_{3}\end{array}\right]$. It is easy to see that if $x, x^{\prime} \in R, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ then $x+x^{\prime} \in R, \lambda x \in R$ ( $R$ is closed under addition and multiplication by a non-negative integer scalar).

To each diagram $e_{i}$ we associate an array in $R$ corresponding to momenta of $\frac{1}{2}$ on the edges of $e_{i}$, and, if $e_{i} \in \Omega_{a b}$, with projection $\frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $-\frac{1}{2}$ ) on free edge $b$ (resp. a). The remaining momenta and projections are zero. To simplify notations, these elements of $R$ are denoted by the same name as the diagrams. They are for the $3-j$ coefficient:
$e_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right] \quad e_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right] \quad e_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\end{array}\right]$
$e_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right] \quad e_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right] \quad e_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0\end{array}\right]$.
Each element $x \in R$ can be decomposed over these $p+q$ arrays $e_{i} \in R(i=1,2, \ldots, p$ corresponds to the $p$ open diagrams and $i=p+1, p+2, \ldots, p+q$ corresponds to the $q$ closed diagrams) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} e_{i}+\sum_{i=p+1}^{p+q} \beta_{i} e_{i} \quad \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $\alpha_{i}$ (resp. $\beta_{i}$ ) the [ $\alpha$ - (resp. $\beta$-)] comomentum associated to $e_{i}$. In case $p$ or $q$ is zero, the corresponding sum is omitted in equation (15). Since the arrays $e_{i}$ are not independent in $R$, decomposition (15) is not unique in general, but the number of different decompositions is always finite because the comomenta have to be non-negative integers.

The normalizing factor $N$ of the coefficient is the product of the triangle factors $\Delta_{a b c}$ of the $v$ couplings $(a, b, c)$ (one for each vertex) and of the $f$ free edge factors $N_{j m}$ (one for each free edge):

$$
\begin{align*}
& N=\prod_{(a, b, c)} \Delta_{a b c} \prod_{(j, m)} N_{j m}  \tag{16}\\
& \Delta_{a b c}=\left(\frac{(a+b-c)!(b+c-a)!(c+a-b)!}{(a+b+c+1)!}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{17}\\
& N_{j m}=((j+m)!(j-m)!)^{1 / 2} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The value of the coefficient is expressed as (see [14], equation (16))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x\}=N \sum \frac{(|\alpha|+|\beta|+1)!}{(|\alpha|+1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\left(-\epsilon_{i}\right)^{\alpha_{i}}}{\alpha_{i}!} \prod_{i=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\left(-\epsilon_{i}\right)^{\beta_{i}}}{\beta_{i}!} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over the decompositions (15) of $x$ in comomenta and where $|\alpha|=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i}$, $|\beta|=\sum_{i=p+1}^{p+q} \beta_{i}$.

Equation (19) is a $K$-fold summation, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=p+q-I \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the difference between the number $p+q$ of comomenta and the number $I$ of independent momenta and projections. For a connected $3 n-j$ coefficient ( $I=g=3 n, p=0, q=2^{n+1}-1$ ) $K=2^{n+1}-1-3 n$. For a connected coupling coefficient with $v$ couplings $(p=(v+2)(v+1)$, $q=0)$, since the projections have sum $0, K=p-(g+2 f-1)=v^{2}$.

Example (3-j coefficient). In the case of the 3-j coefficient, decomposition (15) $x=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{6} \alpha_{i} e_{i}$, where the $e_{i} \in R$ are given by equation (14), expresses the momenta and projections of the $3-j$ coefficient corresponding to a set of values of the comomenta $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 6}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{1}=\left(+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3} \quad+\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}\right) / 2 \\
& j_{2}=\left(+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{6}\right) / 2 \\
& j_{3}=\left(+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5} \quad\right) / 2 \\
& m_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3} & \left.-\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}\right) / 2
\end{array}\right.  \tag{21}\\
& m_{2}=\left(-\alpha_{1} \quad+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4} \quad-\alpha_{6}\right) / 2 \\
& m_{3}=\left(+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2} \quad-\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5} \quad\right) / 2 .
\end{align*}
$$

The value of the $3-j$ coefficient, equation (19), reads

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3}  \tag{22}\\
m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{3}
\end{array}\right)=N \sum \frac{(-1)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}}}{\alpha_{1}!\alpha_{2}!\alpha_{3}!\alpha_{4}!\alpha_{5}!\alpha_{6}!}
$$

where $N=\Delta_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}} N_{j_{1} m_{1}} N_{j_{2} m_{2}} N_{j_{3} m_{3}}$ and where the sum is over the sets of comomenta with values in $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying the set of equations (21). Solving equations (21) for the comomenta $\alpha_{i}$ in terms of $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}, m_{1}, m_{2}$ and $z=\alpha_{3}$ and using these expressions in equation (22) gives Racah's formula (1).

## 5. The projective geometry of the $3 n-j$ coefficient

In this section we review results of [15] for the $3 n-j$ coefficient. We assume for simplicity graph $G$ to be connected and without cuts on one or two edges. The projective space $P^{*}=P G\left(n^{*}, 2\right)$


Figure 7. The Fano plane of comomenta $P^{*}$ for the 6- $j$ coefficient.


Figure 8. The Fano plane of momenta $P$ for the $6-j$ coefficient.
is identified with $\mathcal{C} \backslash\{0\}$, the set of the $p_{n}=2^{n+1}-1$ closed diagrams of the $3 n-j$ coefficient. In the dual projective space $P=P G(n, 2), 3 n$ points are identified with edges of $G$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { edge } k \in G \text { is identified with point } k \in P \text { such that the } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$ $2^{n}$ cycles that contain edge $k$ are the points of $P^{*} \backslash k^{*}$

where we denote by $k^{*} \subset P^{*}$ the dual hyperplane of $k$. We also denote by $E \subset P$ the set of the points identified as edges of $G$. We call $E$ the embedding of $G$ in $P$. When three edges of $G$ are incident at one vertex, the corresponding points in $P$ are collinear. By duality of property (23) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { the set of edges of cycle } i \in P^{*} \text { is } E \backslash i^{*} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i^{*} \subset P$ is the hyperplane dual to $i$. Hidden momenta are associated with the $p_{n}-3 n$ points of $P \backslash E$. For the $6-j$ coefficient these projective spaces are Fano planes (figures 7 and 8) with one hidden momentum $j_{7}$.

For each point $k \in P$, we denote by $j_{k}$ the associated visible (already in $G$ ) or hidden momentum and by $\chi_{k}$ the irreducible character of the Abelian group $\mathcal{C}$ defined by

$$
\chi_{k}(i)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \quad i \in k^{*} \quad \text { or } \quad i=0  \tag{25}\\ -1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The comomenta $l_{i}$ associated to points $i \in P^{*}$ are expressed in terms of momenta as a discrete Fourier transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i}=-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{k \in P} \chi_{k}(i) j_{k} \quad \text { for } \quad i \in P^{*} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with inverse transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in P^{*} \backslash k^{*}} l_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad k \in P . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us say that when we give to the $\beta$-comomenta specified values, matrix equation (15) (here $p=0$ ) determines sample values of the momenta $j_{k}$. The sample value of $j_{k}$ for $k \in E \subset P$ is also given by equation (27), with $l_{i}$ identified with the $\beta$-comomentum associated to cycle $i$. The set of equations (27) is thus an enlargement of matrix equation (15) and, since it is invertible, we can express equation (19) as follows.

Denoting by $X$ an array of $p_{n}$ angular momenta $j_{k}(k \in P)$, the full $p_{n}-J$ symbol $\langle X\rangle$ is defined in terms of the comomenta (26) by

$$
\langle X\rangle= \begin{cases}\frac{(-1)^{|l|}(|l|+1)!}{\prod_{i \in P^{*}} l_{i}!} & \text { if } \forall i \in P^{*} \quad l_{i} \in \mathbb{N}  \tag{28}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $|l|=\sum_{i \in P^{*}} l_{i}$. The value of the $3 n-j$ coefficient is given by the formula of hidden momenta,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x\}=N \sum(-1)^{t(X)}\langle X\rangle \quad(-1)^{t(X)}=\prod_{i \in P^{*}}\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)^{l_{i}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is as in equation (16) and where the sum is over the hidden momenta of the full $p_{n}-J$ symbol. The condition $\forall i \in P^{*}, l_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ in equation (28) implies that the full $p_{n}-J$ symbol is zero if there is a line $a b c$ in $P$ such that $j_{a} j_{b} j_{c}$ do not satisfy triangular conditions. The sum in equation (29) is thus limited by the triangular conditions associated to the $p_{n}\left(p_{n}-1\right) / 6$ lines of the projective space.

## 6. The projective geometry of the $N-j m$ coefficient

In this section we generalize the results of the preceding section to any $N$ - jm coefficient with graph $G$. The $N$-jm coefficient is still described by a finite projective space (noted $T$ ), but at each point $k \in T$ are attached a momentum $j_{k}$ with projection $M_{k}$, and at each point in the dual space a pair of comomenta. The geometry of the $N$-jm coefficient depends not only on the embedding $E \subset T$ of $G$, but also on a $M$-chain that specifies the projections $m_{a}$ of the $N$-jm coefficient in terms of the $M_{k}$ of $T$. We present a construction of this geometry based on a $3 n-j$ coefficient obtained by completing graph $G$.

### 6.1. The graph $\bar{G}$

Let us denote the $f$ free edges of $G$ by numbers $1,2, \ldots, f$ (with $i \equiv i+f$ ) and their ends by $s_{i}$, thus fixing an (arbitrary) cyclic order. By adding $f$ outer edges $s_{i} s_{i+1}$, labelled with $\tau_{i}$ ( $i \equiv 1,2, \ldots, f$ ) to graph $G$, we construct a trivalent graph $\bar{G}$ that we call a completion of $G$. Putting $n=(g+2 f-3) / 3, \bar{G}$ is the graph of a $3(n+1)-j$ coefficient with $3(n+1)$ edges and $2(n+1)$ vertices. We call the cycle $\tau=\left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{f}\right\}$ of $\bar{G}$ the outer cycle.

Example (3-j coefficient). We take the cyclic order 123 for the free edges of $G=$ figure 1 . We have $n=1$ and $\bar{G}$ is figure 4 of the $6-j$ coefficient with outer cycle $\tau=\{4,5,6\}$.

For each open diagram $\omega \in \Omega_{a b}$ of $G$ we define a closed diagram of $\bar{G}$, noted $\bar{\omega}$ and called the completion of $\omega$, obtained by adjoining to $\omega$ the outer edges $\tau_{b}, \tau_{b+1}, \ldots, \tau_{a-1}$. Graphically, to obtain $\bar{\omega}$ from $\omega$, we join $b$ to $a$ on the outer cycle going in the cyclic order. For the 3-j coefficient, the completion of the open diagram $e_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, 6)$ in figure 6 is the closed diagram $e_{i}$ of the 6-j coefficient in figure 5. We say that cycles of $\bar{G}$ of the form $\bar{\omega}$ are of type $\alpha$. Note that $\bar{\omega}^{\prime}=\bar{\omega}+\tau$ is also of type $\alpha$ and is the completion of the open diagram $\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega_{b a}$ reversed of $\omega \in \Omega_{a b}$.

We define the completion $\bar{w}$ of a closed diagram $w$ of $G$ to be $w$ itself when considered as a cycle of $\bar{G}$. Such a cycle $\bar{w}$ of $\bar{G}$ is said of type $\beta$, and cycle $\bar{w}+\tau$ of $\bar{G}$ is said of type $\gamma$. The closed diagrams of $\bar{G}$ are thus classified in types $\tau$ (for the outer cycle), $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ (for the remaining diagrams).


Figure 11. Closed diagrams of types $\tau, \beta, \gamma$ and $\alpha$ in the completion of figure 3.

Examples. Taking figure 9 as a completion of figure 2, figure 10 shows the outer cycle $\tau$, the two diagrams of type $\delta$, and one diagram of type $\alpha$ which is the completion of an open diagram of $\Omega_{32}$; the remaining 11 diagrams of $\bar{G}$ are of type $\alpha$. Taking the same figure 9 as a completion of figure 3 , figure 11 shows the outer cycle $\tau$, the only diagrams of types $\beta$ and $\gamma$, and one diagram of type $\alpha$ which is the completion of an open diagram of $\Omega_{79}$; the remaining 11 diagrams of $\bar{G}$ are of type $\alpha$.

We define the projective spaces $P$ and $P^{*}$ for $\bar{G}$ as in section 5, but their dimension is now $n+1$ instead of $n$ and the number of points of $P\left(\right.$ or $\left.P^{*}\right)$ is $p_{n+1}=2 p_{n}+1$ with $p_{n}=2^{n+1}-1$.

### 6.2. The projective space $T$ of momenta and the $M$-chain $F$

Let $T$ be the hyperplane of $P$ dual to the outer cycle $\tau \in P^{*}$. By property (24), $T$ contains all edges of $G$. We call $T$ the projective space of momenta of $G$, and say as before that the set of edges $E$ is embedded in $T$. This embedding $E \subset T$ is the same as the embedding of $\widehat{G}$ in $P G(n, 2)$, where graph $\widehat{G}$ is obtained by joining all $f$ ends of $G$ (or shrinking cycle $\tau$ of $\bar{G}$ to a point). It is thus independent of the cyclic order of the free edges used to construct $P$.

The outer edges $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{f}$ of $\bar{G}$ are identified with points of $P \backslash T$. Choosing any $t \in P \backslash T$, that we call the centre of $P$, we put, if $t \neq \tau_{a}, \rho_{a}=t+\tau_{a} \in T$ for $a=1,2, \ldots, f$. There are two kinds of choices of the centre $t$ :

- The centre is different from all outer edges. We call the ordered set of the $f$ points $\rho_{a}$ and $f$ lines $\rho_{a-1} \rho_{a}(a \equiv 1,2, \ldots, f)$ the (closed) $M$-chain $F=\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{f}\right)$.
- The centre is one of the outer edges. Let us take $t=\rho_{f}$. The above $F$ exists in $T \cup\{0\}$ with $\rho_{f}=0$. By keeping only its points and lines in $T$, we obtain the (open) $M$-chain $F=\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{f-1}\right)$ of $f-1$ points and $f-2$ lines.

Free edge $a$ of $G$ is incident with the outer edges $\tau_{a-1}$ and $\tau_{a}$, so that $a=\rho_{a-1}+\rho_{a}$, which means that the $M$-chain has the following property:
free edge $a$ of $G$ is the third point on line $\rho_{a-1} \rho_{a}$ of the $M$-chain. For an open $M$-chain, the beginning $\rho_{1}$ (resp. ending $\rho_{f-1}$ ) of the $M$-chain is identified with free edge 1 (resp. $f$ ) of $G$.

Example (3-j coefficient). The first case occurs when we take $t$ at $j_{7}$. The closed $M$-chain is ( $1,2,3$ ) with free edge 1 (resp. 2, 3) being the third point on line 23 (resp. 31, 12). The second case occurs for the other choices of the centre. For $t$ at $j_{4}$, the open $M$-chain $(3,2)$ is shown in equation (8).

### 6.3. The projective space $T^{*}$ of comomenta

Let $T^{*}$ be the hyperplane of $P^{*}$ dual to the centre $t \in P$. Considering $T$ and $T^{*}$ as dual projective spaces $P G(n, 2)$ of dimension $n$, we call $T^{*}$ the projective space of comomenta of $G$. At point $i \in T^{*}$, we have already comomentum $l_{i}$ of $P^{*}$, which we call upper comomentum of $T^{*}$. We also associate to $i \in T^{*}$ the lower comomentum $l_{i}^{\prime}=l_{\tau+i}$, which is the comomentum in $P^{*}$ at the third point on line $\tau i$. The types ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ or $\delta$ ) of these upper and lower comomenta are the types of the corresponding cycles in $P^{*}$.

At point $k \in T$, we already have momentum $j_{k}$ of $P$. We also associate to $k$ a projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k}=j_{t+k}-j_{t} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the difference of the momenta at point $r=t+k$ in $P \backslash T$ (the third point on the line joining $k$ to the centre) and at the centre. The triangular conditions $j_{k} j_{r} j_{t}$ imply that $j_{k} M_{k}$ satisfies projection conditions.

For $k \in T$, the discrete Fourier transform expressing the momenta in terms of comomenta is (see the appendix):

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in T^{*} \backslash k^{*}}\left(l_{i}+l_{i}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{32}\\
& M_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in T^{*} \backslash k^{*}}\left(l_{i}-l_{i}^{\prime}\right) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

with inverse transform for $i \in T^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{i}=-\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k \in T} \chi_{k}(i)\left(j_{k}+M_{k}\right)  \tag{34}\\
& l_{i}^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{k \in T} \chi_{k}(i)\left(j_{k}-M_{k}\right) \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now show that we can identify which comomenta $l_{i} l_{i}^{\prime}$ of types $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are associated to closed and open diagrams of $G$ only from the embedding $E \subset T$ and the $M$-chain $F$. Using property (24), we obtain the following, which proves also that the $2^{n+1}(f-1)$ ! arbitrary choices (cyclic ordering of the $f$ free edges, choice of the centre among $2^{n+1}$ points) in the construction of $T$ and $T^{*}$ are completely encoded by the $M$-chain $F$ :

- If $i=\bar{w} \in T^{*}$ is the completion of a closed diagram $w$ of $G$, then $E \backslash i^{*}$ is the set of edges of $w$. The upper comomentum $l_{i}$ is then associated to $w$ and the lower comomentum $l_{i}^{\prime}$ is of type $\gamma$. Note that, since the completion of any closed diagram of $G$ is in $T^{*}$, comomenta of type $\beta$ are always upper comomenta.
- If $i=\bar{\omega} \in T^{*}$ is the completion of an open diagram $\omega \in \Omega_{a b}$ of $G$, then $E \backslash i^{*}$ is the set of edges of $\omega$ and $F \backslash i^{*}$ is the open chain $\rho_{b} \rho_{b+1} \ldots \rho_{a-1}$. Comomentum $l_{i}$ is then associated to $\omega$ and $l_{i}^{\prime}$ to the reversed open diagram $\omega^{\prime}$.

We also denote the comomenta of types $\alpha$ and $\beta$ by $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant p}$ and $\left(\beta_{i}\right)_{p<i \leqslant p+q}$, labelled accordingly to the above associations, and those of types $\gamma$ and $\delta$ by $\left(\gamma_{i}\right)_{p+q<i \leqslant p+2 q}$ and $\left(\delta_{i}\right)_{p+2 q<i \leqslant 2 p_{n}}$, labelled arbitrarily ( $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ notation for comomenta).

Example (3-j coefficient). When we take the centre $t$ of $P$ at $j_{4}, T^{*}$ is the comomentum line $e_{1} e_{5} e_{6}$. The ordered pair of comomenta $l_{i} l_{i}^{\prime}$ at $e_{1}$ (resp. $e_{5}, e_{6}$ ) is the pair $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}$ (resp. $\alpha_{5} \alpha_{2}$, $\alpha_{6} \alpha_{3}$ ) as pictured in equation (9). Equations (34) and (35) give equation (4).

### 6.4. The formula of hidden momenta

Let us give specified values to $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant p}$ and $\left(\beta_{i}\right)_{p<i \leqslant p+q}$ and so to comomenta of $P^{*}$ of types $\alpha$ and $\beta$. We call, as before, sample values the values of momenta $j_{k}$ and projections $m_{a}$ of the $N$-jm coefficient that result from matrix equation (15). We put $l_{i}=0$ for the comomenta of $P^{*}$ of types $\gamma$ and $\delta$. All upper and lower comomenta of $T^{*}$ have then specified values. We use these comomenta of $P^{*}$ (with an arbitrary value for comomentum $l_{\tau}$ at the outer cycle) in equation (27) to compute the momenta at edges of $\bar{G}$. The sample value of $j_{k}$ at edge $k$ of $G$ is the same as momentum at edge $k$ of $\bar{G}$ and the sample value of $m_{a}$ on free edge $a$ of $G$ is given by $j_{\tau_{a}}-j_{\tau_{a-1}}$, the difference of momenta on the outer edges $\tau_{a}$ and $\tau_{a-1}$ of $\bar{G}$ adjacent to $a$. The sample value of $j_{k}$ at edge $k$ of $G$ is thus given by equation (32). The sample value of $m_{a}$ is related to the projections $M_{k}$ (defined by equation (31) and given by equation (33)) at points of the $M$-chain. If the $M$-chain is closed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a}=M_{c}-M_{b} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b=\rho_{a-1}$ and $c=\rho_{a}$ are collinear with $a$ by property (30). If the $M$-chain is open, with beginning $d=\rho_{1}$ and ending $e=\rho_{f-1}$, equation (36) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{d}=M_{d} \quad m_{e}=-M_{e} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for free edges $d$ and $e$ in $G$.

Example (3-j coefficient). When we take the centre $t$ of $P$ at $j_{7}$, the projections $M_{k}$ are defined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=j_{4}-j_{7} \quad M_{2}=j_{5}-j_{7} \quad M_{3}=j_{6}-j_{7} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the closed $M$-chain $(1,2,3)$ corresponds to relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=M_{3}-M_{2} \quad m_{2}=M_{1}-M_{3} \quad m_{3}=M_{2}-M_{1} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

When we take the centre $t$ of $P$ at $j_{4}$, the projections $M_{k}$ are defined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=j_{7}-j_{4} \quad M_{2}=j_{6}-j_{4} \quad M_{3}=j_{5}-j_{4} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the open $M$-chain (3, 2) corresponds to relations (3).
The system of equations (32) and (33) is thus an enlargement of the matrix equation (15). It defines an array $X$ of $2 p_{n}$ values of momenta and projections $\left(j_{k} M_{k}\right)_{k \in T}$ associated with the $p_{n}$ points of the projective space $T$ for a specified set of comomenta. We call hidden momenta the $j_{k}$ that do not correspond to edges in $G$. The projections $M_{k}$ on the $M$-chain are related to the projections $m_{a}$ of the $N$ - jm coefficient by equations (36) and (37). In the case of an open
$M$-chain, these $f-1$ projections are completely determined (they are visible projections). In the case of a closed $M$-chain, these $f$ projections form a set with one hidden projection (any one of them). The remaining $M_{k}$, at points not in the $M$-chain, are also called hidden projections. It can surprisingly happen that at free edge $k$ (where $j_{k} m_{k}$ are known) projection $M_{k}$ is hidden.

Example (3-j coefficient). When we take the centre $t$ of $P$ at $j_{4}$, the open $M$-chain is $(3,2)$. There is only one hidden projection $M_{1}$. The system of equations (32) and (33) is an enlargement of equation (21) (with $M_{2}=-m_{2}$ and $M_{3}=m_{3}$ ) containing the additional equation for hidden projection $M_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\left(-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}\right) / 2 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse of the system of equations (21) and (41) is the system of equations (4) (which are the same as equations (34) and (35)).

For arbitrary values of momenta $j_{k}$ and projections $M_{k}$ in array $X$, we calculate the $2 p_{n}$ comomenta of $T^{*}$ by equations (34) and (35). The full $p_{n}-J M$ symbol $\langle X\rangle$ is defined by, using the $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ notation for comomenta,
$\langle X\rangle= \begin{cases}\frac{(|\alpha|+|\beta|+1)!}{(|\alpha|+1)!} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i}!\prod_{i=p+1}^{p+q} \beta_{i}!} & \begin{array}{l}\text { if all } \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text { and all } \gamma_{i}=0, \delta_{i}=0 \\ 0\end{array} \\ \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
We rewrite equation (19) as the formula of hidden momenta: the value $\{x\}$ of the $N$ - jm coefficient is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x\}=N \sum(-1)^{t(X)}\langle X\rangle \quad(-1)^{t(X)}=\prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)^{\alpha_{i}} \prod_{i=p+1}^{p+q}\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)^{\beta_{i}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is given by equation (16) and where the sum is over the hidden momenta and hidden projections of the full $p_{n}-J M$ symbol.

The sum in equation (43) is limited by triangular conditions $j_{a} j_{b} j_{c}$ (for each line $a b c$ in $T$ ), as in equation (29) for the $3 n-j$ coefficient, and by projection conditions $j_{k} M_{k}$ (for each point $k \in T$ ). The conditions $\gamma_{i}=0, \delta_{i}=0$ in equation (42) have the effect of imposing $2 p_{n}-p-q$ relations between hidden momenta and projections. If we want to determine a set of independent hidden momenta and projections, for each pair of conditions $l_{i}=l_{i}^{\prime}=0$ at a cycle $i \in T^{*}$ of type $\delta$, we remove one hidden momentum and one hidden projection and for each condition $\gamma_{i}=0$, we remove one hidden projection. The total number $K$ of independent hidden momenta and projections is given by equation (20).

In the case of a $3 n-j$ coefficient, the above construction remains valid when we take for the outer cycle $\tau$ added to $G$ a loop disconnected from $G$. All comomenta come in pairs of type $\beta \gamma$ so that conditions $\gamma_{i}=0$ impose $M_{k}=j_{k}$ for all projections. The simpler geometry of the $3 n-j$ coefficient is recovered by ignoring the $\gamma$ comomenta and the projections.

Each choice of the $M$-chain gives slightly different, but algebraically equivalent, formulae (the assignments of $\alpha_{\kappa}, \beta_{\kappa}$ to $l_{i} l_{i}^{\prime}$ and of $m_{a}$ to $M_{k}$ depend on the $M$-chain).

## 7. Concluding remarks

We have presented an interpretation of the combinatorial formula for the $N$-jm coefficients in terms of hidden angular momenta $j_{k}$ and projections $M_{k}$. It is quite puzzling that the projections $m_{a}$ of the $N-j m$ coefficient appear only indirectly through the projections $M_{k}$ as specified by


Figure 12. Representation of the 3 -JM symbol in Euclidean geometry.


Figure 13. Partition $P^{*}=A \cup B \cup C \cup d^{*}$.
a $M$-chain. The comomenta, in the case of the $3 n-j$ coefficient, have been interpreted as occupation numbers in [15], but the physical interpretation of hidden angular momenta and projections is an open question.

We have drawn (figure 12) in three-dimensional Euclidean space the momenta and projections that take part in the construction of the projective space for the 3-j coefficient: length $[B D]=j_{5}$, length $\left[B B^{\prime}\right]=M_{2}, \ldots$ The seven triangular conditions of $P$ represented by collinearities in figure 8 now appear as triangles (triangle $j_{1} j_{2} j_{3}$ appears four times). When we take the centre $t$ of $P$ at $j_{7}$, the $M$-chain is $(1,2,3)$, the projections $M_{k}$ are defined by equation (38) and the projections $m_{a}$ by equation (39). The three points and three lines in the $M$-chain are pictured as the three edges and three faces adjacent to $O$ in tetrahedron $O A B C$. We finally consider a limit case in the spirit of Ponzano and Regge [19]. OABC are kept fixed and $D$ goes to infinity in the vertical direction. The projections $M_{k}, m_{k}$ become genuine geometric projections of $j_{k}$ on the vertical direction. In [19], this limit is used to obtain the $3-j$ coefficient, pictured by the shaded triangle $A B C$, from the $6-j$ coefficient, pictured by tetrahedron $A B C D$.

## Appendix. Derivation of discrete Fourier transforms between momenta and comomenta

The dual hyperplanes in $P^{*}$ of $t, r$ and $k$ are $T^{*}=t^{*}=A \cup d^{*}, r^{*}=B \cup d^{*}$ and $k^{*}=C \cup d^{*}$, where $A, B, C, d^{*}$ is a partition of $P^{*}$ and where $d^{*}$ is the $(n-1)$-dimensional projective subspace dual to line $t k r$ (see figure 13). Note that $\tau \in C$. From equation (27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in A \cup B} l_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in A}\left(l_{i}+l_{i+\tau}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in T^{*} \backslash k^{*}}\left(l_{i}+l_{i}^{\prime}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves equation (32). Also from equation (27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{r}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in A \cup C} l_{i} \quad j_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in B \cup C} l_{i} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in A} l_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in B} l_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in A}\left(l_{i}-l_{i+\tau}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in T^{*} \backslash k^{*}}\left(l_{i}-l_{i}^{\prime}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves equation (33).
The inverse transform, equations (34) and (35) results from properties of characters as in the case of the $3 n-j$ coefficient.
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